

17a. In your opinion were any inappropriate investigations performed? Yes No Unable to answer

17b. If YES, in your opinion did this have a significant effect on outcome? Yes No Unable to answer

17c. If YES, please expand on your answer

18a. Is there any evidence in the casenotes of any delays in the UNDERTAKING of investigations? Yes No Unable to answer

18b. If YES, please expand on your answer

19a. Is there any evidence in the casenotes of any delays in the OBTAINING of investigations? Yes No Unable to answer

19b. If YES, in your opinion did the delay have a significant effect on clinical outcome? Yes No Unable to answer

19c. If YES, please expand on your answer

E. RADIOLOGY

20. Were any radiological examinations requested? Yes No Unable to answer
If YES, go to question 21. If NO go to question 24

21a. Was there any difference between the provisional report and the final report? Yes No Unable to answer

21b. If YES, were details of this recorded in the casenotes? Yes No Unable to answer

22. Was the investigation reviewed by a clinician at the same time as the radiologist reviewing the examination, or formally discussed in a meeting? Yes No Unable to answer

23a. In your opinion, was there any delay in the communication of critical, urgent or unexpected findings? Yes No Unable to answer

23b. If YES, please expand on your answer

F. MONITORING

24a. In your opinion, was the medical management of this patient appropriate? Yes No Unable to answer

24b. If NO, please expand on your answer

25a. In your opinion, was the monitoring of vital signs adequate for this patient? Yes No Unable to answer

25b. If NO, please expand on your answer

26a. In your opinion, were any signs of deterioration in the patient recognised and acted upon appropriately? Yes No Unable to answer

26b. If NO, please expand on your answer

G. JUNIOR DOCTORS

27. Was the name of the supervising consultant recorded in the casenotes? Yes No Unable to answer

28. Was there a record in the casenotes that trainees had discussed the case with the named consultant? Yes No Unable to answer

29. Did junior staff seek advice from senior staff as appropriate? Yes No Unable to answer

30. Was an operation or procedure undertaken? Yes No Unable to answer

If YES, go to question 31. If NO go to question 33

31a. If the surgeon was not a consultant, was the level of supervision adequate? Yes No Unable to answer

31b. How was this supervision given?

- In theatre/endoscopy suite etc. By telephone In hospital
 Other Unable to answer

32a. If the anaesthetist was not a consultant, was the level of supervision adequate? Yes No Unable to answer

Not applicable (no anaesthetic given)

32b. How was this supervision given?

- In the anaesthetic room In the theatre/endoscopy suite
 In the hospital By telephone
 Other Unable to answer

H. COMMUNICATION

33. In your opinion was there evidence of a lack of communication?

- No Between specialties Within specialties
 Between grades of doctors Between doctors and nurses
 Between nurses and allied health professionals

I. RECORD KEEPING

34. Was an anaesthetic given? Yes No Unable to answer

If YES, go to question 35. If NO go to question 37

35. Was all anaesthetic information (leaflets received, risks) recorded on the anaesthetic chart? Yes No Unable to answer

36. Was the grade of the anaesthetist anaesthetising the patient recorded on the anaesthetic chart? Yes No Unable to answer

All patients

37. Overall, were the medical records legible? Yes No Unable to answer

38. Please grade the overall quality of the medical records for this admission

- Good (all aspects of documentation were well presented and easy to read)
 Satisfactory (most aspects of the documentation were well presented and easy to read)
 Poor (many aspects of the documentation were presented unclearly and were difficult to read)
 Unacceptable (unable to read the majority of the documentation)

